

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.11627 of 2016

=====

1. Sri Mohan Prasad Singh S/o Late Kanahaiya Prasad Singh Resident of
village - Parsuram, P.O. Parasi, P.S. Parasi, District - Arwal
2. Dinesh Kumar S/o Late Sarju Singh resident of village - Bedhna, P.O. +
P.S. Badh, District – Patna Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Chief Secretary, Education Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna
3. Special Director (Secondary Education), Govt. of Bihar, Patna
4. Deputy Director (Secondary Education), Govt. of Bihar, Patna
5. District Education officer, Arwal
6. District Programme Officer, (Establishment), Arwal
7. The Chairman, Bihar Sanskrit Siksha Board, Patna
8. The Secretary, Bihar Sanskrit Siksha Board, Patna Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioners : Mr. Shree Kant Pandey, Adv.
For the State : Mr. Raj Nandan Prasad, SC IX
For the BSEB : M/S Satyam Shivam Sundram & Shashank
Kumar Jha, Adv.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR
UPADHYAY
ORAL ORDER

2 05-02-2018 Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners, the

learned counsel appearing for the State and the Bihar Sanskrit

Shiksha Board.

Considering the issue involved in the present writ
application, which requires scrutiny of various documents and
enquiry into the facts the writ application under the aforesaid
circumstance is **disposed of** with liberty to the petitioners to file
representation enclosing all the relevant documents and the
judgment on which the petitioners rely for disposal of the present
writ application.



In the event of such representation is filed along with a copy of this Court within a period of one month, the respondent-District Education Officer, Arwal, will enquiry into the matter and on verification of the records if substance is found in the claim of the petitioners, the District Education Officer will pass appropriate order in accordance with law within a maximum period of three months.

It goes without saying that in case the claim of the petitioners is found justified the respondent-District Education Officer, Arwal, will pass appropriate order with regard to payment of consequential benefit.

It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on the merit of the claim of the petitioner and it shall be the responsibility of the District Education Officer to review the relevant records and pass appropriate order within the time so indicated here-in-above.

(Anil Kumar Upadhyay, J)

SA/-

U			
---	--	--	--

