

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Criminal Miscellaneous No.19488 of 2018

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-55 Year-2015 Thana- JHANJHARPUR District- Madhubani

1. Satya Narayan Mahto, Son of Late Laxmi Mahto,
2. Dukhan Mahto, son of Late Laxmi Mahto, Both Residents of Village Sir Kharya, Police Station- Jhanjharpur (Araria Sangram), District- Madhubani.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Prafull Chandra Jha

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sanjay Kumar Singh, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR

ORAL ORDER

5 04-09-2018 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned counsel for the State.

The petitioners, who are in custody, seek bail in connection with Sessions Trial No. 117 of 2016 registered for the offence punishable under Sections 302, 201, 498A, 494, 120(B) and 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

Informant is the father of deceased, who in his written complaint has stated that the marriage of his daughter was solemnized with Ravindra Mahto in the year 1997 and one daughter and one son were born from the said wedlock. Ravindra Mahto started demanding dowry of Rs. 2,00,000/-



and deserted her daughter Kaushlya Devi as such she was living alone. Rabindra Mahto solemnized second marriage with Dropadi Devi and thereafter on 19.04.2015 Rabindra Mahto, Santosh Kumar and Dropadi Devi came in the village and after getting knowledge he went there and did not find his daughter and suspected her to be killed.

It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioners that From the FIR, it appears that Rabindra Mahto was living at Delhi and came to village and committed murder of his first wife and daughter of informant and concealed her dead body which was recovered by the police on confession made by accused. Petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case although they are living separately from decades and was not concerned with the family affairs of Rabindra Yadav. Petitioners have no criminal antecedent and they are in custody since 21.04.2015.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, let the petitioners named above be released on bail upon furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned 1st Additional Sessions Judge, Madhubani, in



connection with Sessions Trial No. 117 of 2016.

(S. Kumar, J)

veena/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

