

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Criminal Miscellaneous No.56897 of 2018

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-110 Year-2016 Thana- KATEYA District- Gopalganj

Manjoor Ansari, Son of Late Jabbar Ansari alias Late Jabbar Mian, resident of Village- Sidhariya, Police Station- Kateya, District- Gopalganj.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Lokesh Kumar Singh

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sri Abhay Kumar Roy

For the Informant : Md. Sufiyan, Advocate

: Thakur Brajesh Singh, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR

ORAL ORDER

4 29-11-2018 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned counsel for the State and learned counsel for the Informant.

Petitioner, who is in custody, seeks bail in connection with Kateya P.S. Case No. 110 of 2016 registered for the offence punishable under Section 304(B)/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

Allegation against petitioner is of killing the daughter of the informant by setting her ablaze due to non-fulfillment of demand of dowry.

It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that petitioner is the husband of deceased and she died of accidental fire, while she was cooking. During investigation villagers were examined and they have stated at the time of occurrence petitioner had gone to Bazar with his mother and on information returned back and carried deceased for treatment but she died in the way. Petitioner has no criminal antecedent and he is in



custody since 18.06.2018.

Learned Counsel for the informant has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of regular bail to the petitioner.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, let the petitioner named above be released on bail upon furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, XV, Gopalganj, in connection with Kateya P.S. Case No. 110 of 2016 subject to the conditions that:-

(1) Bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned.

(2) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and his absence on two consecutive dates without proper and sufficient reason the trial court will be at liberty to cancel his bail bond.

(3) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioner.

(S. Kumar, J)

veena/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

