

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No. 58098 of 2018

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-82 Year-2018 Thana- MINAPUR District- Muzaffarpur

=====

Renu Devi Wife of Shreshtha Rai Resident of Village- Koil Machhua, P.S.-
Minapur, District- Muzaffarpur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AHSANUDDIN
AMANULLAH

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 12-12-2018

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends arrest in Minapur P.S. Case
No. 82 of 2018 dated 03.03.2018 instituted under Sections
365/366A of the Indian Penal Code.

3. The allegation against the petitioner is that she had
allured the daughter of the informant, aged 14 years and when the
petitioner went to her house, she made her to talk on mobile and



ensured that she will return within one week but when she did not come, F.I.R. was lodged by the informant.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the parties live in the same ancestral house and are agnates as the petitioner is the wife of the younger brother of the husband of the informant. Learned counsel submitted that no details of any mobile number have been given so as to establish the fact that the petitioner had made the informant talk on mobile and had assured that the girl would return after a week.

5. Learned A.P.P., upon going through the case diary, submitted that the 14 years old girl of the informant has still not returned. It was further submitted that the investigation is going on and, thus, the question that whether there was a mobile phone or whether the petitioner had made the informant talk to her daughter will only be known after the investigation is completed. Learned counsel submitted that the petitioner being the sole accused, it cannot be believed that the mother would implicate only one person falsely and leave the main culprit and that too, where her 14 years old daughter has gone missing.

6. Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case and submissions of learned counsel for the parties, the Court is not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on anticipatory bail.



7. Accordingly, the application stands dismissed.

(Ahsanuddin Amanullah, J.)

P. Kumar

AFR/NAFR	
U	
T	

