

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.10007 of 2016

=====

Munni Kumari Daughter of Late Mahendra Jha, Wife of Sri Ram Naresh Jha
Resident of Village - Bhawanipur, P.S. - Sakari, District - Madhubani.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. The Principal Secretary, Human Resources Development Department,
Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Director, Higher Education, Human Resources Development Department,
Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. Lalit Narayan Mithila University, through its Registrar, Darbhanga.
5. The Vice Chancellor, Lalit Narayan Mithila University, Darbhanga.
6. The Registrar, Lalit Narayan Mithila University, Darbhanga.
7. The Finance Officer, Lalit Narayan Mithila University, Darbhanga.
8. The Principal, Ras Narayan College, Pandaul, Madhubani.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner	:	Mr. Satish Chandra Jha-3, Adv.
For the Sate	:	Mr. Amit Kumar Jha, AC to AAG IV
For the University	:	Mr. Chandra Mohan Singh, Adv.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPADHYAY
ORAL ORDER

2 18-04-2018 Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner, the

learned counsel for the Lalit Narain Mithila University and the

learned counsel appearing for the State.

Limited grievance of the petitioner in the present writ
application is non-payment of dues of arrears of salary on
account of the promotion of the father of the petitioner from
Demonstrator to Lecturer under the Statute Regulating
Promotion of Demonstrators.

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits
that the respondents are required to work out the entitlement of



the petitioner with effect from 17.08.1992 to 08.01.2000.

considering the nature of the grievance raised in the present writ application, the writ application is **disposed off** with a direction to the University to work out the arrears taken by the petitioner on account of promotion of the father of the petitioner as Lecturer till the date, 08.01.2000. The respondents are supposed to examine the entitlement expeditiously within a period of sixty days and if there is any admissible entitlement of the petitioner, then, that may be paid within further period of one month. It is needless to state here that on account of such payment, the respondents are required to work out the pensionary benefit admissible to this petitioner in terms of entitlement of the petitioner for post retiral dues.

(Anil Kumar Upadhyay, J)

Shamshad/-

U			
---	--	--	--

