

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No. 53794 of 2018

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-92 Year-2018 Thana- Mahila P.S. District- Bhojpur

Arvind Kumar Singh @ Arbind Kumar Singh Son of Rajnath Singh Resident of Village Chator Tola, Police Station - Dinara, District - Rohtas, At present Village & Post & P.S. Dinara (Dilia) N.H. 30, Near Gyan Jyoti Play School, District Rohtas.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. Manju Kumari W/o Arvind Kumar Singh @ Arbind Kumar Singh, D/o Suman Singh, Resident of Village Lokpur, Post - Pitau, Police Station - Nawa Nagar, District - Buxar.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Dhaneshwar Prasad Gupta
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Parmeshwar Mehta

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

3. 11-09-2018 Heard Sri Dhaneshwar Prasad Gupta, learned counsel for the petitioner and Sri Parmeshwar Mehta, learned Addl. Public Prosecutor.

The petitioner, husband of the informant apprehending his arrest in Mahila (Bhojpur Sadar) P.S. Case No. 92 of 2018 registered for offence under Sections 498(A), 354, 379/34 of the Indian Penal Code, has prayed for grant of bail in the event of his arrest or surrender.

Learned counsel for the petitioner, by way of referring to F.I.R., submits that in the whole F.I.R., there is no element of demand of dowry and as such, Section 498(A) of the Indian Penal Code is not applicable.



However, on perusal of the F.I.R., there is specific accusation that the informant was repeatedly assaulted by the accused persons including the husband. Besides this, there are other serious accusation also in the F.I.R. and as such, there is no reason to extend the privilege of anticipatory bail.

The anticipatory bail petition stands dismissed.

(Rakesh Kumar, J.)

anay

U		T	
---	--	---	--

