

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.1071 of 2014

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.17695 of 2012

=====

Sukhdeo Paswan

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State Of Bihar & Ors

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ajay Kumar Gautam, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Sanjay Kumar AC to SC XV

For the Opp. Nos. 7 & 8 : Mr. Arun Kumar, Advocate
Mr. Ram Vinay Pd. Singh (Sanjay) Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

18 04-01-2018 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, State as well as
learned counsel for opposite party nos. 7 and 8.

A supplementary affidavit has been filed on behalf of opposite party nos. 7 and 8 in which it has been stated that pursuant to orders passed by the Teachers Appellate Tribunal and the Hon'ble High Court, petitioner has been appointed on 19.08.2017 and the order passed by the High Court has been complied. However, learned counsel for the petitioner states that pursuant to the circular issued by the State of Bihar dated 04.07.2008 (Annexure-a) by which Shiksha Mitras who were removed from service for the reason of not having the educational qualification, i.e., Intermediate were to be reinstated in service from the date of their removal. In said view of the



matter, petitioner is to be reinstated in service from the date of his removal. It is clarified that the petitioner is entitled to get service benefits including continuity of service from the date of removal till reinstatement except payment of salary from the date of removal till the date of joining.

With the aforesaid observation, the contempt proceeding is dropped. The M.J.C. petition stands disposed of.

(S. Kumar, J)

sudip/-

U			
---	--	--	--

