

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Criminal Miscellaneous No.44622 of 2018

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -569 Year- 2017 Thana -SA SARAM MUFFSIL District- SASARAM
(ROHTAS)

=====

1. Raja Nut Son of Raj Kumar Nut Resident of Village- Bada, P.S.
Sasaram(M), District- Rohtas.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Kamla Kant Pandey, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Rajendra Nath Jha, A.P.P.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

3 04-09-2018

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the

learned A.P.P. for the State.

The Petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with
Sasaram (M) P.S.Case No. 569 of 2017 registered for offences
punishable under Sections 392/411 of Indian Penal Code.

Allegation against the petitioner, who is named in
the F.I.R., is of snatching Rs. 30,000/-, hanuman locket and
mobile on the gun point from the informant at the time of
returning with two persons from Sasaram in his motorcycle.

Submission of the learned counsel for the petitioner
is that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in the case and
nothing incriminating has been recovered from the possession of
the petitioner. It has further been submitted that the co-accused
person, named in the F.I.R., has been granted privilege of bail



vide order dated 13.10.2017 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 47721 of 2017.

Learned A.P.P also opposes the prayer for bail.

Having heard both sides and in view of the facts and circumstances, as stated above, let the petitioner, above named, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 25,000/- (Rupees Twenty five thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Rohtas Sasaram in connection with Sasaram (M) P.S. Case No. 569 of 2017, subject to the following conditions:-

- i) One of the bailors of the petitioner shall be a local person having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the concerned Court.
- ii) The petitioner will not induce any witness or tamper with the evidence.
- iii) It is made clear that if petitioner found involved in any of the like offences, in future, prosecution is free to move for cancellation of his bail bonds

(Vinod Kumar Sinha, J)

Sudha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

