

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.43139 of 2018

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -141 Year- 2017 Thana -MUFFASIL District-
WESTCHAMPARAN(BETTIAH)

=====

Sunil Kumar, Son of Paras Sharma, Resident of Village- Pokharbhinda,
Police Station- Bettiah Muffasil, District- West Champaran.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar.

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sanjay Kumar No.-7, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Amit Kumar Rakesh, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

3 24-09-2018 Heard learned counsel for petitioner and learned A.P.P.
for the State.

The petitioner seeks bail in Bettiah Muffasil P.S. Case
No. 141/2017 corresponding to Sessions Trial No. 460/2017,
registered for the offences punishable under Sections 302, 328,
120(B) of the Indian Penal Code.

Allegation against named Navneet Niraj and other
unknown persons has been made for committing murder by
pouring petrol and setting ablaze daughters of the informant.

It has been submitted that petitioner has falsely been
implicated in this case. Petitioner is not named in the F.I.R. His
name surfaced in the case on the basis of confessional statement of
co-accused. Moreover, similarly placed co-accused have been
granted regular bail by this Court vide orders dated 13.09.2017



passed in Cr. Misc. No. 44710/2017 and dated 12.03.2018 passed in Cr. Revision No. 87/2018.

Considering the facts aforesaid, the petitioner above-named, is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of District & Sessions Judge, Bettiah, West Champaran in connection with Bettiah Muffasil P.S. Case No. 141/2017 corresponding to Sessions Trial No. 460/2017, subject to the conditions:

- (1.) Bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned.
- (2.) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be present on each and every date fixed by the court and his absence on two consecutive dates without proper and reasonable reason will be sufficient to cancel his bail bonds.
- (3.) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for



cancellation of bail of the petitioner.

(S. Kumar, J)

Vinita/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

