

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.24606 of 2013

Palakdhari Mandal Son Of Late Budhu Mandal Resident Of Mohalla- Masrat
Khanti, (Nath Nagar) P.O.- Champa Nagar, Police Station- Nath Nagar,
District- Bhagalpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar Through The Secretary Higher Education Department
Government Of Bihar, Patna
2. The Tilka Manjhi Bhagalpur University Through Its Vice Chancellor,
Bhagalpur
3. The Registrar, Tilka Manjhi Bhagalpur University, Bhagalpur
4. The Head Of The Post Graduate Department Of Botany, Tilka Manjhi
Bhagalpur University, Bhagalpur

... .. Respondent/s

with

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 25455 of 2013

ANANDI MANDAL SON OF LATE SHYAM MANDAL RESIDENT OF
MOHALLA - MASRAT KHANTI, (NATH NAGAR), P.O. CHAMPA
NAGAR, POLICE STATION - NATH NAGAR, DISTRICT -
BHAGALPUR..... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar Through The Secretary Higher Education Department
Government Of Bihar, Patna
2. The Tilka Manjhi Bhagalpur University Through Its Registrar
3. The Tilka Manjhi Bhagalpur University Through Its Vice Chancellor,
Bhagalpur
4. The Registrar, Tilka Manjhi Bhagalpur University, Bhagalpur
5. The Head Of The Post Graduate Department Of Botany, Tilka Manjhi
Bhagalpur University, Bhagalpur..... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

(In Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 24606 of 2013)

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rana Sanjay Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Umesh Narayan Dubey, AC to G.P.-27
For the University : Mr. Subodh Kumar Jha, Advocate

(In Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 25455 of 2013)

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rana Sanjay Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Prabhakar Jha G.P.-27
For the University : Mr. Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPADHYAY
ORAL ORDER

4 30-10-2018

Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and counsel

appearing on behalf of the State as well as University.



Petitioner has filed this writ application for direction to the respondents to regularized the services of the petitioner after quashing the order contained in memo No.B/1789-91 dated 7.3.2011 and for payment of salary for the period the petitioner has actually worked. So far the direction for regularization is concerned and quashing of memo No.B/1789-91 dated 7.3.2011 is concerned the Court does not find the present is a fit case for granting indulgence. However, the grievance with regard to payment of salary for the period the petitioner has actually worked is concerned, the writ petition is disposed of with direction to the University to take appropriate decision after verification of record for payment of salary for the period petitioner has actually worked.

The University is expected to decide the claim of the petitioner and ensure payment of salary for the period petitioner has actually worked within a period of four months from the date of receipt/ production of a copy of this order.

With the aforesaid the writ petition stands disposed of.

(Anil Kumar Upadhyay, J)

T.Kr./-

U			
---	--	--	--

