

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Criminal Miscellaneous No.48383 of 2018**

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -186 Year- 2016 Thana -BIHTA District- PATNA

=====

1. Jai Ram Yadav @ Jai Ram Prasad Yadav, S/o Atwar Yadav, Resident of  
Village- Ramubigha, P.S.- Bihta, District- Patna.

.... .... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.

.... .... Opposite Party/s

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rajesh Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sri Ramchandra Singh

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD KUMAR SINHA**  
**ORAL ORDER**

3      10-09-2018              The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection  
with Bihta P.S. Case No. 186 of 2016, registered for offences  
punishable under Sections 147, 148, 149, 341, 323, 324, 342, 307  
of the Indian Penal Code and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

Allegation against the petitioner and others is that they  
surrounded the husband of the informant and the petitioner alleged  
to have fired on him.

Submission of learned counsel for the petitioner is that  
husband of the informant is a veteran criminal and petitioner is an  
eye witness in a case lodged against the husband of the informant  
and as such the petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case  
and though there is allegation of firing against the petitioner,  
however, the injury caused to the informant was found to be  
simple in nature.

Heard learned A.P.P. also.



Having heard both sides, considering the facts and circumstances of the case, let the petitioner above named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bond of Rs. 25,000 (Rs. Twenty Five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned A.C.J.M.-I, Danapur, in connection with Bihta P.S. Case No. 186 of 2016, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C, it is further subject to the condition that:-

- (i) One of the bailors of the petitioner shall be a local person having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the concerned Court.
- (ii) The petitioner shall cooperate in the investigation and make himself available as and when required by the police and on the event of failure on his part to appear before the police on two consecutive dates without showing any genuine reasons, the prosecution is free to move for cancellation of his bail bonds.

**(Vinod Kumar Sinha, J)**

sunil/Amjad/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

