

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.44576 of 2018

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -2858 Year- 2008 Thana -PURNIA COMPLAINT CASE District-
PURNIA

=====

1. Imran Alam @ Imran Khan Son of Mojibur Rahman resident of Pagla
P.S. Routa, District Purnea.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. Samsira Begum D/o Md. Tajuddin resident of Pagla P.S. Routa, District
Purnea.

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ram Prawesh Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Nityanand, A.P.P.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

4 04-09-2018 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the

learned A.P.P. for the State.

The Petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with

C.A. No. 2858 of 2008 registered for offences punishable under

Section 376 of the IPC.

Allegation against the petitioner is of committing

rape upon the informant.

Submission of the learned counsel for the petitioner

is that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case due to

village politics and it is really looks absurd that the complainant

had raped in the house of her own uncle at 11 A.M. and

complainant is major and she appears to be consenting party and

there is seventeen days delay in lodging the F.I.R. and moreover,

both the parties have settled the dispute, which will appear from



Annexure-3. It has also been pointed out that the petitioner is in custody since 07.06.2018.

Heard learned A.P.P also.

Having heard both sides and in view of the facts and circumstances, as stated above, let the petitioner, above named, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 25,000/- (Rupees Twenty five thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Sri Rohit Kumar, Learned Judicial Magistrate, Purnea in connection with C.A. No. 2858 of 2008, subject to the following conditions:-

- i) One of the bailors of the petitioner shall be a local person having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the concerned Court.
- ii) The petitioner will not induce any witness or tamper with the evidence.
- iii) It is made clear that if petitioner found involved in any of the like offences, in future, prosecution is free to move for cancellation of his bail bonds

(Vinod Kumar Sinha, J)

Sudha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

