

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.21330 of 2014

=====

Ranjit Kumar Singh, son of Manoj Kumar Singh, resident of village-
Shambhuganj, P.S.- Harpur, District- Munger.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Human Resource Development Department , Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Human Resource Development Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Director, Primary Education, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
4. The District Magistrate, Munger, District Munger.
5. The Member of District Teacher Employment Appellate Authority, Munger, District Munger.
6. The District Education Officer, Munger, District Munger.
7. The District Programme Officer (Establishment), Munger, District Munger.
8. The Sub Divisional Officer, Tarapur, District Munger.
9. The Block Development Officer, Block- Sangrampur, District Munger.
10. The Block Education Officer, Block- Sangrampur, District Munger.
11. The Panchayat secretary, Gram Panchayat Durmattha, District Munger.
12. The Mukhiya Gram Panchayat, Durmattha, District Munger.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Dhananjay Kumar Gupta
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Ga3- Vinay Kirti Singh

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPADHYAY
ORAL ORDER

2 03-07-2018 The present writ application is pending since 2014
involving the issue of payment of salary.

In the matter of payment of salary, the respondents are
required to examine the validity of the appointment and the fact
whether the petitioner has worked for the period the petitioner is
claiming salary.

In view of the above, the writ petition is disposed of
with direction to the District Programme Officer



(Establishment), Munger to enquire into the matter and on verification of record, if it is found that the petitioner was appointed validly and he has worked for the period he is claiming salary, necessary decision may be taken by the District Programme Officer (Establishment), Munger for payment of salary of the petitioner for the period the petitioner has worked, if there is no dispute as to the validity of the appointment within a period of three months from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order.

(Anil Kumar Upadhyay, J)

Ravi/-

U			
---	--	--	--

