

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.7308 of 2013

- =====
1. Uma Shankar Singh Son Of Chandrika Singh Resident Of Village Hasuahan, P.S. Harsidhi, District - East Champaran
 2. Yar Mohammad Miyan Son Of Late Chand Mohammed Resident Of Village - Sarsaula, P.S. Lakhaura, District - East Champaran
 3. Subhash Kumar Mishra Son Of Sri Hari Kant Mishra Resident Of Village Hasuahan, P.S. Harsidhi, District - East Champaran
 4. Muni Lal Prasad Son Of Bhadai Lal Prasad Resident Of Village - Mathiyaria, P.O. - Harsidhi, District - East Champaran
 5. Sukdeo Ram Son Of Raghunath Ram Resident Of Village - Krittpur Mathiya, P.S. - Harsidhi, District - East Champaran
 6. Narad Shukla S/O Sri Adya Sukla Resident Of Village Ujain, Lohiar, P.S. - Harsidhi, District - East Champaran Petitioners

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar Through The Chief Secretary, Bihar, Patna
2. The Secretary, Department Of Personnel And Administrative Reforms, Bihar, Patna
3. The Commissioner, Tirhut Division, Muzaffarpur
4. The District Magistrate, East Champaran
5. The Deputy Development Commissioner, East Champaran
6. The Deputy Collector, District Nazarat, East Champaran

.... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioners : Mr. Rajeev Kumar Verma, Senior Advocate &
Mr. Sunil Kumar, Advocate

For the State : Mr. Rakesh Ranjan, AC to AAG 12

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MADHURESH PRASAD
ORAL ORDER

19 21-02-2018 Heard counsel for the petitioners and the State.

Petitioners have prayed for quashing the advertisement issued vide memo no. 52 dated 13.3.2013, Annexure 8.

It is submitted by counsel for the petitioners that the instant writ petition is covered by the order dated 25.3.2014 as modified by order dated 14.5.2014, passed in C.W.J.C.No. 1807 of 2014. He submits that since by the said orders, the matter has been left to be decided by the District Magistrate, East



Champanan(respondent no. 4), the instant writ petition may also be disposed of in terms of the orders and directions passed in favour of the writ petitioners of C.W.J.C.No. 1807 of 2014.

Needless to say that respondent no.4 would be obliged to consider the case of the petitioners in accordance with law and in terms of order passed in C.W.J.C.No. 1807 of 2014, provided their cases are also on similar footing.

The writ petition is thus disposed of.

(Madhuresh Prasad, J)

Shashi.

U			
---	--	--	--

