

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.60426 of 2017

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -134 Year- 2017 Thana -DHANAHA District-
WESTCHAMPARAN(BETTIAH)

=====

Krishna Mohan Mishra, Son of Ram Naresh Mishra, R/o Village- Motenaj
Sugauli, P.S.- Piprasi, District- Champaran.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Vijay Kr Singh No. 1

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sri Manish Kumar 2

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY PRIYA
ORAL ORDER

4 06-02-2018

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the State.

The petitioner apprehends his arrest in **Dhanaha P.S.**

Case No. 134 of 2017 instituted for the offence under Sections
420, 384 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that
in the written report there is allegation that this petitioner along
with co-accused Dhruv Gaddi has made demand of illegal money
from the informant for allotting fund of Indira Awas. It has further
been submitted that in the re-statement given by the informant
which is available in paragraph-7 of the case diary, he has levelled
specific allegation against co-accused Gaddi who is husband of
Ward Member of taking illegal money from her.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case,



prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is allowed. In the event of surrender/arrest of the petitioner, named above, within six weeks from today, in connection with **Dhanaha P.S. Case No. 134 of 2017**, he shall be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of **learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, 1st Bagaha, Distt. West Champaran**, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438 (2) Cr. P.C. with further conditions (1) bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned, (2) petitioner shall cooperate in the trial and shall be present on each and every date fixed by the court and their absence on two consecutive dates without proper and reasonable reason will be liable to cancel his bail bond and (3) if petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioner.

(Sanjay Priya, J)

S.Ali/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

