

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

**Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.996 of 2018
IN
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 16459 of 2008**

=====

1. Chiranjib Mishra, son of Late Basudeo Mishra, resident of village Olipur, P.O. Olipur, P.S. Runisaidpur, District Sitamarhi.
2. Pawan Kumar Yadav, son of Rajendra Yadav, r/o. Moh. Nayatola Sunderpur-Bela, Ward No. 4, P.S. and District Darbhanga.
3. Baidya Nath Jha, son of Hriday Narayan Jha, resident of Village Loha, P.O. Loha, P.S. and District Samastipur.
4. Bishundeo Mandal, son of Late Tejan Mandal, resident of village Katghara, P.S. Bhatoramath, P.S. Hathauri, Kothi District Samastipur.
5. Ram Krishna Mandal, son of Late Sujan Mandal, resident of Village and P.O. Sahpur, P.S. Benipatti, District Madhubani.
6. Manohar Jha, son of Late Ram Padarath Jha, resident of village Rewasi Pakri, P.O. and P.S. Righa, District Sitamarhi

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Principal Secretary, Rural Development Department, Bihar, Patna
2. The Principal Secretary, Rural Development Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Chief Engineer, REO II, Road Construction Department, New Secretariat, Patna.
4. The Superintendent Engineer, Rural Engineering Organization, Works Circle, Muzaffarpur, District Muzaffarpur.
5. The Executive Engineer, R.E.O., Work Division, Sitamarhi, District Sitamarhi.
6. The Executive Engineer, Rural Engineering Organization, (REO), Work Division, Sheohar at Sitamarhi.
7. The District Magistrate, Sheohar, District Sheohar.

.... Opposite parties

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Durga Nand Jha, Advocate
For the Opposite Parties : None

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 12-09-2018

Heard learned counsel for the petitioners.

2. This application has been filed for modification of judgment



dated 04.07.2017 passed in CWJC No. 16459 of 2008.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that it has been advised in terms of letter No. 449 dated 14.10.2017 issued by the Deputy Collector, District Nazarat, Sitamarhi that the matter relating to the petitioners comes under the jurisdiction of Sheohar District. Learned counsel for the petitioner therefore, seeks appropriate modification in the judgment of this Court.

4. None appears on behalf of the opposite parties when the matter is called.

5. Having heard learned counsel for the petitioners and on consideration of the materials on record, let para 6 of the judgment dated 04.07.2017 passed in CWJC No. 16459 of 2008 be treated as modified only to the extent that the sentence therein “The petitioners shall also be at liberty to approach the District Magistrate, Sitamarhi with appropriate representation” be read as “The petitioners shall also be at liberty to approach the District Magistrate Sheohar with appropriate representation”.

6. MJC No. 996 of 2018 stands disposed of.

(Vikash Jain, J)

Chandran/BT

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	19.09.2018
Transmission Date	NA

