

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.17319 of 2014

=====

Rajeev Kumar S/o Shri Uma Shankar Singh Resident of Village Kothiya, Post
Office Gheghwa, Police Station Madhuban, District East Champaran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Principal Secretary, Human Resource Development Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The District Magistrate, East Champaran at Motihari.
3. The District Education Officer, East Champaran.
4. The Block Development Officer, Madhuban Block, East Champaran.
5. The Block Education Officer, Madhuban Block, East Champaran.
6. The Member, District Teacher Employment Appellate Authority, East Champaran.
7. The Mukhiya, Gram Panchayat Raj Bajitpur, Madhuban Block, District East Champaran.
8. The Panchayat Secretary, Gram Panchayat Raj Bajitpur, Madhuban Block, District East Champaran.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sanjeev Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Ranjit Kumar, AC to GP-26

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPADHYAY
ORAL ORDER

2 25-09-2018 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel appearing on behalf of the State.

Initially the petitioner has filed the writ petition for a direction to the District Teachers Employment Appellate Authority to decide the appeal filed by the petitioner on 19.08.2010. During the pendency of the writ petition the District Teachers Employment Appellate Authority decided the appeal and as such the petitioner has challenged the same by way of filing I.A. No. 6738 of 2016.

Learned counsel for the petitioner now admits that



there is forum of appeal against the decision of the District Teachers Employment Appellate Authority and as such counsel prays that the writ petition may be disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to approach the State Appellate Authority.

With the aforesaid liberty, the writ petition stands disposed of.

In case the petitioner files an appeal before the State Appellate Authority within a period of one month from today along with a copy of this order, the State Appellate Authority is required to decide the appeal on its own merit after condoning the delay in filing the appeal in view of the fact that the petitioner was pursuing the writ petition for the same relief before this Court.

(Anil Kumar Upadhyay, J)

spandey/-

U			
---	--	--	--

