

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.50967 of 2018

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -67 Year- 2016 Thana -RANIYATALAB District- PATNA

=====

1. Ram Nandan Paswan, Son of Late Yogendra Paswan
2. Guddu Paswan, Son of Ram Nandan Paswan.
3. Pramod Paswan, Son of Ram Nandan Paswan, Petitioners are resident of Village of Patut , P.S. Rani Talab, Dist.- Patna.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. State of Bihar.

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mrs.Madhuri Lata

For the Opposite Party/s : Dr.Ajit Kumar

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

2 04-09-2018 Heard the parties.

The petitioners seek pre-arrest bail in RaniTalab P.S.Case No.67 of 2016 registered for the offence under Sections 341, 323, 504, 354, 379, 307/34 of Indian Penal Code.

Allegation against the petitioner no.1 is of attempting to outrage modesty of the informant, against petitioner no.2 of snatching her ear ring and petitioner no.3 came and pointed his pistol on her head.

Submission of the learned counsel for the petitioners is that father and sons came together to the house of the informant and attempted to commit outrage her modesty. He further submits that earlier husband of the informant had taken some amount from petitioner no.1 and when he demanded his money from the



husband of the informant the present case was lodged involving the petitioners.

Heard learned A.P.P. also.

Having heard both sides and in view of the facts and circumstances of the case, let the petitioners, above named, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the court below within six weeks from today, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.25,000/- with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Danapur, Patna, in Ranitalab P.S.Case No.67 of 2016 subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of Cr.P.C. and (1) one of the bailors must be a local person having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the concerned court. (ii) the petitioners will not induce any witness or tamper with the evidence and the petitioners shall co-operate in the investigation of the case and make themselves available as and when required by the police, otherwise, the prosecution is free to move for cancellation of their bail bonds.

(Vinod Kumar Sinha, J)

AnilKrSinha/-

U			
---	--	--	--

