

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Criminal Miscellaneous No.47823 of 2018

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -1454 Year- 2015 Thana -PATNA COMPLAINT CASE District-
PATNA

=====

Rajeev Kumar Singh, S/O Visheshwar Singh @ Sadhu Jee, R/M- West
Jaiprakash Nagar, P.S. Jakkanpur, District-Patna. At present-R/O Lanka
Kachhuara, P.S. Gaurichak, District-Patna.

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. Namrata Kumari, D/O Navin Kumar Singh, R/V- Tola Babu Mohan Singh, P.S. Athamalgola, District-Patna. At present- Nagina Nagar, Kumharar, P.S. Agamkuan, District-Patna.
3. Navin Singh, S/O Late Surya Deo Singh, R/V- Tola Babu Mohan Singh, P.S. Athamalgola, District-Patna. At present-Nagina Nagar, Kumharar, P.S. Agamkuan, District-Patna.

.... Opposite Parties

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. S.N.P. Sinha, Sr. Advocate, M/S. Uma
Shankar Singh, Dheeraj Kumar and Rashmi
Bharti, Advocates.

For the State : Mr. Chaubey Jawahar, A.P.P.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 06-08-2018 Learned counsel for the petitioner is permitted to

make necessary correction in paragraph no. 4 of the bail

application, in course of the day.

Heard learned senior counsel for the petitioner and

learned counsel for the State.

The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in a case for

the offence registered under Sections 498(A) of the IPC and 4 of

the D.P. Act.

The prosecution story, in brief, is that the accused



persons including the petitioner tortured the victim due to non-fulfilment of demand of dowry.

It has been submitted by learned senior counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner has got no criminal antecedent. There is no allegation of tampering with the witnesses alleged against the petitioner. The petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case due to petty family dispute. The case is triable by the Magistrate. The petitioner has further relied upon the judgment of this Court in the case of Md. Naimul Haque Ansari @ Naimul Haque Ansari & Ors. Vs. The State of Bihar, reported in 2006(3) PLJR 182.

On behalf of the learned counsel for the State, it has been submitted that the petitioner is named in the F.I.R/ complaint case.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, let the petitioner above named, in the event of arrest or surrender before the learned court below within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned S.D.J.M. Patna City, in connection with Complaint Case No. 1454 of 2015, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of



Criminal Procedure.

U.K./-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

(Sudhir Singh, J)

