

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.45955 of 2018

Arising out of P.S. Case No.-692 Year-2017 Thana- JAHANABAD District- Jehanabad

Prem Ranjan, Son of Dhaneshwar Yadav, resident of Village- Thikraor Kurtha Bazar P.S. Makhdumpur, District- Jehanabad.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ajay Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Pranav Kumar, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

2 08-08-2018 Heard the parties.

The petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in connection with Jehanabad P.S. Case No. 692 of 2017, registered for offences punishable under Sections 467, 468, 474, 420, 419 of the Indian Penal Code, Section 66(B) (C) I.T. Act and 3/10 Bihar Examination Conduct Rule, 1981.

As per F.I.R., allegation against the petitioner is that the petitioner along with other accused persons were apprehended in the examination hall whereas a duplicate screen was found affixed on left hand's thumb impression of the petitioner and a blue tooth with an electronic device was found inside right ear of co-accused, Rubi Kumari.

Submission of the learned counsel for the petitioner is that petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case and nothing in-discriminating objects recovered from the possession



of the petitioner on the spot and the seizure list itself shows that the other co-accused involved in the occurrence, except the petitioner and the petitioner has no criminal antecedent.

Learned A.P.P. opposes the prayer for bail.

Having heard both sides and in view of the facts and circumstances, as discussed above, let the petitioner, above named, on surrender or arrest within six weeks be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 25,000/- (Twenty five thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Jehanabad, in connection with Jehanabad P.S. Case No. 692 of 2017, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438 (2) of Cr.P.C. with condition that one of the bailors of the petitioner shall be a local person having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the concerned Court.

(Vinod Kumar Sinha, J)

sudha/-sunil

U		T	
---	--	---	--

