

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.45369 of 2018

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -222 Year- 2017 Thana -DARAUNDHA District- SIWAN

- =====
1. Rajendra Mahato, S/o Ramdas Mahata , R/o Vill.- Chherahi, (Jaiprakash Nagar), P.S.- Daraunda, District- Siwan.
 2. Gyanti Devi W/o Ramdas Mahato, R/o Vill.- Chherahi (Jai Prakash Nagar), P.S.- Daraunda, District- Siwan.
 3. Ramdas Mahato S/o Late Mansi Mahto, R/o Vill.- Chherahi (Jai Prakash Nagar), P.S.- Daraunda, Distt.- Siwan.
 4. Rubi @ Rubbi Kumari D/o Ramdas Mahto, R/o Vill.- Chherahi, P.S.- Baraunda, District- Siwan.

.... Petitioners

Versus

The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sanjay Kumar Pandey

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Narsingh Tanti

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

2 02-08-2018

Heard learned counsel for the petitioners.

Petitioners apprehend their arrest in connection with Daraunda P.S.Case No. 222 of 2017 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 304B and 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

Allegation against the petitioners, who happen to be husband, mother-in-law, father-in-law and sister-in-law of the deceased, is of causing death of the deceased.

Submission of learned counsel for the petitioners is that no specific allegation has been attributed against them and deceased died due to illness.

Heard learned APP also.



Having heard both sides and in the facts and circumstances, let the petitioners Nos. 2, 3 and 4, named above, in the event of their arrest or surrender, be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees twenty five thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate-VI, Siwan, in connection with Daraunda P.S.Case No. 222 of 2017, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. and further condition is that one of the bailors shall be a local person having sufficient immoveable properties within the jurisdiction of court concerned.

So far petitioner No.1 is concerned, in the facts and circumstances, I am not inclined to grant privilege of anticipatory bail to him. He should surrender and make prayer for regular bail, which shall be considered on its own merit.

With the above observation, this application is disposed of.

(Vinod Kumar Sinha, J)

spa/-

U			
---	--	--	--

