

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.14352 of 2018

=====

Bably Kumari, W/o Sanjay Kumar, Resident of Mohalla- Chowk Bazar
Sasaram, P.S.- Sasaram, District- Rohtas, Pin- 821115.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Regional Officer, Central Board of Secondary Education, Ambika Complex, Behind State Bank Colony, Sheikhpura, Raza Bazar, Bailey Road, Patna-14 (Bihar).
2. The Assistant Secretary (Examination) Central Board of Secondary Education, Ambika Complex, Behind State Bank Colony, Sheikhpura, Raza Bazar, Bailey Road, Patna- 14.
3. The Principal, D.A.V. Public School Hansraj Nagar, Rohtas, Pin 821115.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Kamlesh Kumar Sharma
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. S.D Sanjay (Addl. Soc. Gen.)
For the CBSE	:	Mr. Vinay Krishna Tripathy

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHAKRADHARI SHARAN SINGH

ORAL ORDER

2 29-08-2018 The petitioner is the mother of Harsh Raj who has passed 10th Examination held by the Central Board of Secondary Education (C.B.S.E.) in 2017. The name of mother of the said Harsh Raj has been entered in the certificate issued by the C.B.S.E. as ‘Bably Gupta’. The petitioner, who claims to be the mother of said Harsh Raj, alleges that her name is ‘Bably Kumar’ and not ‘Bably Gupta’ and out of inadvertence ‘Bably Gupta’ was mentioned as mother’s name at the time of admission of Harsh Raj in the School, which mistake continued even at the time of submission of examination form for the 10th Examination. She had applied for correction of her name, which



has been turned down by the impugned order dated 26.03.2018.

It transpires from the impugned order that the C.B.S.E. turned down the petitioner's request for correction of mother's name of the said Harsh Raj, on the ground that what has been entered in the certificate is correct on the basis of School record. This is not being disputed.

The learned counsel for the petitioner, based on pleadings in the writ application, has submitted, however, that the name of the petitioner is 'Bably Kumari' and not 'Bably Gupta'.

I do not find any illegality in the decision of the Board which is based on the records of the School.

If it is the claim of the petitioner that 'Bably Kumari' and 'Bably Gupta' are the names of one and same person, the petitioner shall have a liberty to take appropriate steps.

This writ application stands disposed of.

(Chakradhari Sharan Singh, J)

Ashish/-

U			
---	--	--	--

