

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.2612 of 2015

In

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 660 of 2007

=====

Shyam Sundar Thakur Son of Late Harischandra Thakur, Resident of
Village - Balha Madhusudan,P.S. - Pupri, District - Sitamarhi.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Mr. Rajiv Raushan, The Collector, Sitamarhi.
3. Mr. Kishore Prasad, The S.D.O., Pupri, District - Sitamarhi.
4. Mr. Amit Kumar Sinha, The Circle Officer, Pupri, District - Sitamarhi.
5. Mr. Lavlesh Kumar, B.D.O., Pupri, District - Sitamarhi.
6. Mrs. Rina Devi, The Mukhia Gram Panchayat Raj Balha Madhusudan,
P.S. - Pupri, District -Sitamarhi. null null
7. Mr. Kapildeo Sah, Panchayat Secretary(Gram Panchayat Raj, Balha
Madhusudan, P.S. Pupri, District - Sitamarhi.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Devendra Kumar, Adv.

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Madhuresh Prasad

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN
PRASAD**

ORAL ORDER

9 12-09-2018 First supplementary show cause has been filed on
behalf of the opposite parties. On the calculation of the
compensation amount of the land in question @ of Rs. 22,000/-
per decimal the total amount payable to the petitioner comes to
Rs. 29,590/-. After deducting the amount already paid to the
petitioner, now a sum of Rs. 12,105/- is being paid by a cheque
bearing No. 975068 dated 08.09.2018 drawn in favour of the
petitioner. The cheque is being handed over to the learned counsel
representing the petitioner for its onward submission to the



petitioner. A receipt thereof will be filed by learned counsel for the petitioner within a week from today.

This contempt application stands disposed off. The petitioner may be at liberty that in case he has any grievance in respect of amount in question, he may raise his claim which may be considered in accordance with law. This Court would, however, not be taken to have recognized to any such claim.

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)

Ved/-

U			
---	--	--	--

