

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.28621 of 2018

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -218 Year- 2017 Thana -GARKHA District- SARAN

- =====
1. Amit Kumar Singh,
 2. Sonu Kumar, both S/o Gorakh Singh, R/o Village- Dakshin Tola Garkha
Hasanpura, P.S.- Garkha, District- Saran.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Anant Kumar Bhaskar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Parmanand Kumar, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY PRIYA
ORAL ORDER

2/ 23-05-2018

Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned

APP for the State.

Petitioners apprehend their arrest in **Garkha P.S.**

Case No.218 of 2017 instituted for the offence under Section(s)

147, 148, 149, 294, 323, 324, 307, 504 Indian Penal Code.

Counsel for the petitioners submits that there is general and omnibus allegation against the petitioners. Specific allegation is against co-accused, Mukesh Kumar Singh, for causing injury to Shahid Murtaza.

In the written report, it is alleged that when the informant raised objection for playing vulgar song then all these petitioners assaulted the informant.

In the facts and circumstances of the case, prayer of



the petitioners for grant of anticipatory bail is allowed. In the event of surrender/arrest of the petitioners, named above, within six weeks from today in connection with **Garkha P.S. Case No.218 of 2017**, they shall be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the **Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, V, Saran at Chapra**, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) Cr. P. C. with further conditions: (1) bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned, (2) petitioners shall cooperate in the trial and shall be present on each and every date fixed by the court and their absence on two consecutive dates without proper and reasonable reason will be liable to cancel their bail bonds and (3) if petitioners tamper with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioners.

(Sanjay Priya, J)

JA/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

