

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.13924 of 2013

=====

Daya Shankar Dubey Son of Sri Basudeo Dwivedi Resident of Village Mishra
Gram, P.O.- Puchharia, Via Sangrampur, P.S.- Sangrampur, District- East
Champaran

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar, through Principal Secretary, Water Resource Department,
Government of Bihar, Patna
2. The Engineer in Chief, Water Resource Department (North), Government of
Bihar, Patna
3. The Chief Engineer, Water Resource Department, Balmiki Nagar, West
Champaran
4. The Superintending Engineer, Water Drainage and Investigation Circle,
Motihari, District East Champaran
5. The Executive Engineer, Sikarhana Tatbandh Division, Motihari, District-
East Champaran
6. The Assistant Engineer, Sikarhana Tatbandh Sub Division No. 3, Motihari,
District- East Champaran
7. The Junior Engineer, Sikarhana Tatbandh Sub Division No. 3, Motihari,
District- East Champaran

.... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Ranjeet Kumar
Mr. Kundan Kumar,
Mr. Ramjee Pd. Sharma, Advocates

For the Respondents : Mr. PRASOON SINHA

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 28-08-2018

The present writ petition has been filed for the following
reliefs –

*“(a) For issuance of direction to the respondents to pay
the admitted dues of Rs. 5,43,509.00/- to the petitioner
immediately with due interest, out of which Rs.
3,93,509.00/- is due with respect to execution of work
by the petitioner, at the Budhi Gandak Baya Tatbandh
34-35 K.M. Manpurwa (Group No. 3), within Sikrahana*



Tatbandh Division, Motihari, District East Champaran pursuant to agreement no. 4F2/2011-12, dated 25.01.2012 executed by the Executive Engineer, Sikrahana Tatbandh Division, Motihari (Respondent No. 5) and remaining 1,50,000/- is relating to the additional work taken by the respondents authorities and well admitted in the measurement book.

(b) For any such other relief/reliefs as the petitioner may be entitled to.”

2. Mr. Ranjeet Kumar, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the grievance of the petitioner has been redressed during the pendency of this writ petition and hence, the same need not pressed.

3. The writ petition stands disposed of.

(Vikash Jain, J)

B.T/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	N.A.
Uploading Date	04.09.2018
Transmission Date	N.A.

