

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.410 of 2015

=====

Subodh Kumar Sinha S/O Late Chandrashekhar Prasad Sinha, R/O Mohalla- Andar
Quila, SDO Road, P.S.- Town Thana, Hajipur, District- Vaishali

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar, through Chief Secretary, Department of Panchayati Raj,
New Secretariat, Patna
2. The Director, Panchayati Raj, New Secretariat, Patna
3. The Deputy Director, Panchayati Raj, New Secretariat, Patna
4. The District Magistrate, Samastipur
5. The Block Development Officer, Mohanpur via Baghara, Samastipur
6. District Panchayati Raj Officer, Samastipur
7. The Accountant General, Bihar, Bir chand Patel Path, Patna

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Vishal Saurabh, Advocate
For the AG : Mr. K.P Gupta, Advocate
For the State : Mr. R.B.N Singh, AC to GA 10

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MADHURESH PRASAD

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 07-02-2018

Heard counsel for the petitioner and the respondent-State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for relief of the benefits under the ACP Scheme and also for salary at Grade Pay of Rs. 4600/- with effect from 01.01.2009 to 31.12.2009 as per the decision dated 25.07.2012.

3. Counter affidavit has been filed on behalf of the State wherein it has been stated that the benefit of 3rd ACP has already been granted to the petitioner under office order dated 24.11.2015. As regards other prayer for payment of salary at Grade pay of Rs. 4600/-



with effect from 01.01.2009 to 31.12.2009, it has been submitted that appropriate necessary action is being taken by the respondent no. 5 in accordance with law.

4. Since the final decision has to be taken in accordance with law by the respondents’ authority, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the instant writ petition pending.

5. The writ petition is disposed off with direction to the respondent no. 5 to take necessary action in accordance with law with respect to the said grievance of the petitioner within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order.

6. Needless to say that the petitioner would be entitled to consequential benefits if any arising out of the final decision of the respondent authorities.

(Madhuresh Prasad, J)

Prakash/-

AFR/NAFR	
CAV DATE	
Uploading Date	07.02.2018
Transmission Date	

