

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.9622 of 2016

Om Prakash, aged about 40 years, son of Sri Janardan Prasad Singh, Resident of Matri Sadan, North Lakhibagh, Janakpur, P.O. Buniyadganj, P.S. Mufassil, District and Town Gaya

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Union Of India through the Chairman, Central Board of Secondary Education, Siksha Kendra-2, Community Center, Preet Vihar, New Delhi-110092
 2. Secretary, Central Board of Secondary Education, Siksha Kendra-2, Community Center, Preet Vihar, New Delhi-110092
 3. Executive Director (Joint Entrance Examination), Central Board of Secondary Education, H-149, Sector 63, Noida, District-Gautam Budh Nagar, 201309 (Uttar Pradesh)
 4. Deputy Secretary (Joint Entrance Examination) Central Board of Secondary Education, H-149, Sector 63, Noida, District-Gautam Budh Nagar, 201309 (Uttar Pradesh)
 5. Regional Officer, Regional Office, Central Board of Secondary Education, Ambika Complex behind State Bank Colony, Near Brahm Asthan, Sheikhpura, Raja Bazar, Bailey Road, Patna – 800014
 6. Assistant Secretary, Regional Office, Central Board of Secondary Education, Ambika Complex behind State Bank Colony, Near Brahm Asthan, Sheikhpura, Raja Bazar, Bailey Road, Patna – 800014
- Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Rashid Izhar, Advocate Mr. Sharad Shekhar, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. S.D Sanjay (Addl. Soc. Gen.) Mr. Vinay Krishna Tripathi, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPADHYAY
ORAL ORDER

3 17-04-2018 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State.

Mr. Rashid Izhar, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that model answers in the examination was found to be incorrect and on account of the same the petitioner has suffered prejudice and for redressal of his grievance the petitioner has already filed representation before



respondent No. 3. However, Mr. Rashid Izhar prayed that he may be granted liberty to file fresh representation.

In view of the above, the petitioner is granted a fortnight time to file fresh representation incorporating the instances of wrong model answer and in case such representation is filed before the respondent No. 3, he may consider the representation and pass appropriate order in accordance with law within a period of 60 days from the date of filing of such representation.

With the aforesaid, the writ petition stands disposed of.

(Anil Kumar Upadhyay, J)

spandey/-

U			
---	--	--	--

