

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.6847 of 2018

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -102 Year- 2017 Thana -KHUSRUPUR District- PATNA

=====

Golu Pandey @ Avinash Kumar, Son of Vishundeo Pandey, resident of
Village- Baikathpur (Pandey Toli), Rajapura, Police Station- Khusrupur,
District- Patna.

.... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar.

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance:

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ravi Shanker Pankaj, Advocate.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. (A.P.P.)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD

ORAL ORDER

2 17-02-2018 Heard learned counsel for petitioner and learned
counsel representing the State.

Petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in Khusrupur
P.S. Case No. 102/2017, corresponding to G.R. No. 1767/17, for
the offences registered under Sections 302/120B of the Indian
Penal Code.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
allegation against the petitioner is false. The deceased was
interested in learning the driving of the motorcycle and this
petitioner had taken him for that purpose but unfortunately met
with an accident in which he died.

Learned counsel representing the State submits that the
petitioner is named in the F.I.R. and there are sufficient evidence



collected in course of investigation by police which would show that this petitioner and other accused persons had assaulted the deceased and killed him.

In the facts and circumstances, considering that the petitioner is named in the F.I.R. and there is an allegation against him of having participated in the alleged occurrence, I am not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

The application is dismissed.

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)

Dilip, AR

U			
---	--	--	--

