

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.1345 of 2016

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 12322 of 2015

=====

1. Vishwanath Prasad
2. Baijnath Prasad both sons of Late Rameshwar Prasad resident of village-
Silaunja, P.O. Basarhi P.S. Bodh Gaya, District Gaya

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Sri Kumar Ravi, District Magistrate, Gaya
3. Sri Vinay Kumar Sah, District Land Acquisition Officer, Gaya
4. Sri Shailendra Kumar, Circle Officer, Bodh Gaya, District- Gaya
5. Sri Rajesh Kumar Mishra, The Executive Engineer, Road Construction
Division-1, Gaya

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Shashi Bhushan Singh, Adv.

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Himanshu Kumar Akela, AC to PAAG 2

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. ANJANA MISHRA
ORAL ORDER

7 12-03-2018 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned
counsel for the State.

The issue has been deeply considered by the
authorities and a fresh measurement has been conducted in the
presence of the petitioners. It appears that there is minor variation,
in the measurement conducted earlier when matched with the
present measurement and the Authorities are ready to release the
cheque simultaneously, when the petitioners appear for registering
the lease deed of the land in question.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State



submits that the cheque which was earlier prepared is ready for being handed over to the petitioners at the time of registration of lease deed as per the extant rules.

However, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that with regard to the nature of the land, the Authorities have not addressed themselves to the issue properly. Therefore, liberty may be granted to the petitioners to raise such issue before the appropriate authority.

Accordingly, the present contempt application stands disposed of with the aforesaid liberty.

(Anjana Mishra, J)

Jagdish/-

U			
---	--	--	--

