

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.3530 of 2015**

**In**  
**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.18137 of 2013**

=====

Baidyanath Chakraborty, Age- about 63 years, Chief Engineer (Retired), s/o Late Ramesh Chandra Chakravorty, R/o- Mohalla- Shri Krishna Garden, Parikarma Marg, (Jatipura Side), P.O.- Gobardhan (U.P.), Dist. Mathura, State- Uttar Pradesh, Pin- 281502

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Bihar State Electricity Board now Bihar State Power (Holding) Company Ltd. through the Chairman Cum Managing Director, Vidyut Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna Namely Shri Pratya Amrit
2. The Joint Secretary, The Bihar State Electricity Board, now Bihar State Power (Holding) Comp. Ltd. Vidyut Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna namely Mr. Arun Kumar Sinha
3. The Secretary, The Bihar State Electricity Board, now Bihar State Power (Holding) Comp. Ltd. Vidyut Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna namely Ganesh Prasad
4. The Member (Generation), The Bihar State Electricity Board, now, Bihar State Power (Holding) Comp. Ltd. Vidyut Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna namely Mr. Lalan Prasad

... .. Respondent/s

=====

**Appearance :**

|                      |   |                                     |
|----------------------|---|-------------------------------------|
| For the Petitioner/s | : | Mr. Ranjit Jha, Advocate            |
| For the Respondent/s | : | Mr. Vinay Kirti Singh, Sr. Advocate |
|                      |   | Mr. Vijay Kumar Verma, Advocate     |
|                      |   | Mr. Akhileshwar Singh, Advocate     |

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE**  
**ORAL JUDGMENT**

**Date : 05-01-2018**

C.W.J.C. No. 18137 of 2013 was allowed by the Writ Court on 01.07.2015 which was filed challenging the order by which by way of punishment pension of the petitioner was reduced and certain further action for granting provisional pension was initiated. The entire impugned action was quashed and the respondents were directed to restore all benefits to the petitioner after the impugned order of punishment was quashed.



From the show-cause filed by the respondents, it is seen that vide resolution passed on 15.09.2015 punishment order was withdrawn and in Paragraph 7 it is indicated that difference after the withdrawal of the punishment has been paid to the petitioner in the manner indicated from Paragraphs 7(i) to 7(i)(a)(b)(c) and (ii). The pension has also been revised and the amount has been tendered to the petitioner as is indicated in paragraph 7 of the show-cause.

In view of the above, now no further action is required to be taken in the matter. In case the petitioner has any grievance with regard to the quantification of the arrears paid or the revision of pension ordered, the petitioner shall have liberty to claim it afresh in accordance with law.

With the aforesaid liberty to the petitioner, the matter stands disposed of.

**(Rajendra Menon, CJ)**

P.K.P./-

|                   |            |
|-------------------|------------|
| AFR/NAFR          | N.A.F.R.   |
| CAV DATE          | N.A.       |
| Uploading Date    | 08.01.2018 |
| Transmission Date |            |

