

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.12692 of 2018

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -964 Year- 2015 Thana -SARAN COMPLAINT CASE District-
SARAN

=====

1. Jitendra Kumar Baitha S/o Late Jaleshwar Baitha, R/o Village-
Shobhepur, P.S.- Nayagaon, District- Saran at Chapra (Bihar).

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. Ram Pravesh Baitha S/o Late Keshwar Baitha, R/o Village- Shobhepur,
P.S.- Nayagaon, District- Saran at Chapra (Bihar).

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rakesh Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sri Rajeev Nayan

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

3 03-04-2018 The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection
with Complaint Case No. 964 of 2015, registered for offences
punishable under Sections 465, 468 and 471 of the Indian Penal
Code.

Allegation against the petitioner that he has taken Rs.
3.20 lac from the complainant on the assurance of providing a job
of his son in Railways.

It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that he
has already returned the amount of Rs. 3.20 lac to the daughter-in-
law of the complainant, which will appear from annexure -3 of
this petition and since a case has been lodged by the daughter in
law of the complainant against the complainant, as such he has



lodged the present case and no money of the complainant is due with the petitioner.

Heard learned A.P.P. also.

Having heard both sides, considering the facts and circumstances of the case, let the petitioner above named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bond of Rs. 25,000 (Rs. Twenty Five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned ACJM –IV, Saran at Chapra in connection with Complaint Case No. 964 of 2015, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C, it is further subject to the condition that:-

- (i) One of the bailors of the petitioner shall be a local person having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the concerned Court.
- (ii) The petitioner shall cooperate in the investigation and make himself available as and when required by the police and on the event of failure on his part to appear before the police on two consecutive dates without



showing any genuine reasons, the prosecution is free to move for cancellation of his bail bonds.

(Vinod Kumar Sinha, J)

sunil/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

