

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.2682 of 2018

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -267 Year- 2017 Thana -DINARA District- SASARAM (ROHTAS)

- =====
1. Rajeshwar Sah, Son of Late Ramnath Sah,
 2. Kushun Devi, Wife of Sri Rajeshwar Sah,
- Both are resident of Village- Daidana, P.S.- Dinara, District- Rohtas.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar.

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Satya Ranjan Sinha, Advocate.

For the Opposite Party/s : Smt. Rita Verma, A.P.P.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY PRIYA
ORAL ORDER

2 15-02-2018 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and the

State.

The petitioners apprehend their arrest in **Dinara P.S.**
Case No. 267 of 2017 instituted for the offence under Sections
304(B), 306 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

It has been submitted that petitioners are parents-in-law of the deceased. It has further been submitted that husband is already in custody.

From the written report it appears that there is general and omnibus allegation against these petitioners.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners is allowed. In the event of surrender/arrest of the petitioners, named above, within



six weeks from today, in connection with **Dinara P.S. Case No. 267 of 2017**, they shall be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of **learned Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class, Bikramganj (Rohtas)**, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438 (2) Cr. P.C. with further conditions (1) bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned, (2) petitioners shall cooperate in the trial and shall be present on each and every date fixed by the court and their absence on two consecutive dates without proper and reasonable reason will be liable to cancel their bail bond and (3) if petitioners tamper with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioners.

(Sanjay Priya, J)

S.Ali/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

