

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Criminal Miscellaneous No.13804 of 2018

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -356 Year- 2017 Thana -SUPAUL District- SUPAUL

=====

Anil Kumar @ Anil Kamat, Son of Kusumlal Kamat, Resident of Village-
Supaul, Ward No.11, P.S.-Supaul, District-Supaul.

.... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Vijay Kumar, Advocate.

For the Opposite Party : Mr. Pramod Kumar Pandey, A.P.P.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 13-03-2018 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the State.

The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in a case for
the offence registered under Section 30(a) of the Bihar Prohibition
and Excise Act, 2016.

The prosecution story, in brief, is that total 112.320
liters wine is said to have been recovered.

It has been submitted by learned counsel for the
petitioner that the petitioner has got no criminal antecedent. There
is no allegation of tampering with the witnesses alleged against the
petitioner. The petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present
case. It is alleged that total 112.320 liters wine is recovered from
the car in question. The name of the petitioner has come on the



basis of disclosure made by co-accused Jangali Yadav. Except for this, there is no other substantive evidence to suggest the implication of the petitioner in this case. Nothing incriminating has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner. The petitioner had no knowledge regarding the alleged incident. There is no compliance of Section 100 Cr. P.C.

On behalf of the State, it is submitted that the petitioner is named in the F.I.R/ Complaint Case.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, let the petitioner above named, in the event of arrest or surrender before the learned court below within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Additional District Judge-1st, Supaul, in connection with S.T. Excise Case No. 616 of 2017, arising out of Supaul P.S. Case No. 356 of 2017, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

U.K./-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

(Sudhir Singh, J)

