

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.7311 of 2018

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-39 Year-2017 Thana- MAHILA P.S. District- Rohtas

-
1. Indu Devi, W/o Chandrashekhar Singh,
 2. Anshu Kumari, D/o Chandrashekhar Singh, Both are resident of Company Sarai, P.S.- Sasaram (Model), District- Rohtas.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Bhaskar Shankar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Abhay Kumar Roy

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY PRIYA

ORAL ORDER

2 19-02-2018 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned APP
for the State.

Petitioners apprehend their arrest in Mahila P.S. case no. 39 of 2017 instituted for the offence under Section(s) 4/6 of POCSO Act and Sections 376, 354(c), 506/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that there is no allegation against these petitioners either in the written report or in the statement of the victim girl recorded under Section 164 of the Cr. P.C. These petitioners are the mother and sister of Vikash Kumar against whom the informant has levelled specific allegation in the written report as well as in her statement recorded under Section 164 Cr. P.C.

In the facts and circumstances of the case, prayer of the



petitioners for grant of anticipatory bail is allowed. In the event of surrender/arrest of the petitioners, named above, within six weeks from today in connection with Mahila P.S. case no. 39 of 2017, they shall be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned 1st Additional Sessions Judge, Rohtas at Sasaram, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) Cr. P.C. with further conditions:(1) bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned, (2) petitioners shall cooperate in the trial and shall be present on each and every date fixed by the court and absence on two consecutive dates without proper and reasonable reason will automatically cancel bail bond of the petitioners and (3) if petitioners tamper with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioners.

(Sanjay Priya, J)

shyambihari/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

