

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.10748 of 2017

=====

Gautam Kumar Singh, son of Jageshwar Prasad Singh, resident of Village-
Bhadaura, P.s.- Haveli Kharagpur, District- Munger.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Chief Secretary, Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Department of Disaster Management, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Principal Secretary, Department of Rural Development, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. The Commissioner, Munger Division, Munger.
5. The District Magistrate, Munger.
6. The Deputy Collector, Land Reforms, Kharagpur, Munger.
7. The Sub Divisional Officer, Kharagpur, Munger.
8. The Incharge Officer, Disaster Management Branch, Munger.
9. The Block Development Officer, Kharagpur, Munger.
10. The Circle Officer, Kharagpur, Munger.
11. The Mukhia, Gram Panchayat Raj, Bahira, Kharagpur, Munger.
12. Smt. Babita Devi, The Mukhia, Gram Panchayat Raj, Bahira, Kharagpur, Munger.
13. The Panchayat Secretary, Gram Panchayat Raj, Bahira, Kharagpur, Munger.
14. The Headmaster, Primary School, Bhadaura, Kharagpur, Munger.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Brajesh Kumar Pandey, Adv.
For the Respondent/s : Mr. MD. KHURSHID ALAM- AAG12

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD
ORAL JUDGMENT
(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

Date : 09-03-2018

Inter alia contending that in the area in question in execution of MNREGA scheme various illegalities have been committed and in spite of complaint made nothing has been done, this petition has been filed for issuing a mandamus.



Under the MNREGA Scheme itself there is a provision for resolution of such dispute and cause of inquiry by the State Level Monitoring Committee and thereafter by a further Committee envisaged under the Scheme and considering all these factors, a coordinate Bench of this Court in the case of **Vijay Shahi & Ors. Vs. The Union of India and others-2014 (4) PLJR 108** had relegated the parties to take recourse to the remedy of representing to the Development Commissioner, Chairman of the Committee and the Committee was directed to look into the matter and this Court also on 30th of June, 2017 in C.W.J.C. No.5333 of 2017 (Jagat Paswan Vs. The State of Bihar & Ors.) has granted the said liberty to the petitioner

The petitioner is also granted liberty to represent to the Development Commissioner, Chairman of the Committee constituted under the Scheme and the Committee is directed to look into the grievance of the petitioner and proceed in accordance with law.

In case a complaint is made before the Statutory Grievance Redressal Committee, the same shall be dealt with and decided by the Committee, preferably within six months from its presentation along with a copy of this order.



With the aforesaid liberty to the petitioner, the matter stands disposed of.

(Rajendra Menon, CJ)

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)

K.C.Jha/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	N/A
Uploading Date	12.03.2018
Transmission Date	

