IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.12716 of 2018

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -182 Year- 2017 Thana -SANDESH District- BHOJPUR

==========

1. Satrudhan Yadav, S/o Late Parikha Singh,
2. Dhanmato Devi, W/o Satrudhan Yadav,

Both R/o Village- Panpura, P.S.- Sandesh, District- Bhojpur At Ara (Bihar).

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Pawan Kumar Singh, Advocate.
For the State : Mr. Nawal Kishore Prasad, A.P.P.

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY PRIYA
ORAL ORDER

2 08-03-2018 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and the State.

The petitioners apprehend their arrest in **Sandesh P.S. Case No. 182 of 2017** instituted for the offence under Sections 304(B) and 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that petitioners are parents-in-law of the deceased.

From the written report it appears that there is general and omnibus allegation against the petitioners.

It is mentioned in paragraph-7 of the bail petition that both parties have entered into a compromise.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners is allowed. In the

WEB COPY

event of surrender/arrest of the petitioners, named above, within six weeks from today, in connection with **Sandesh P.S. Case No. 182 of 2017**, they shall be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned **Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, IXth, Bhojpur at Ara**, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438 (2) Cr. P.C. with further conditions (1) bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned, (2) petitioners shall cooperate in the trial and shall be present on each and every date fixed by the court and their absence on two consecutive dates without proper and reasonable reason will be liable to cancel their bail bond and (3) if petitioners tamper with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioners.

(Sanjay Priya, J)

S.Ali/-

U		T	

WEB COPY