

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Revision No.28 of 2017

=====

Md. Jamil Ahmad

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

Bachchan Prasad Singh

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Shashi Kumar

For the Respondent/s : Mr.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR

JHA

ORAL ORDER

5 16-03-2018

Heard both sides.

The petitioner has filed this Civil Revision Petition against the judgment dated 25.11.2016 and decree dated 30.11.2016 passed in Eviction Suit No. 5 of 2014.

The plaintiff/opposite party filed the suit for eviction of the suit premises on the ground of personal necessity.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the learned Sub-Judge has not given any finding with regard to the partial eviction of the suit property.

It appears that the suit premises is a small shop and partial eviction would not serve the purpose of the landlord.

Having considered the submissions and on perusal of the judgment, I do not find any illegality and irregularity to interfere into the judgment impugned.

Accordingly, this Civil Revision Petition is



dismissed.

The petitioner is directed to vacate the suit premises within four months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, failing which, the executing court shall take proper step to get the suit premises vacated against the petitioner.

(Prabhat Kumar Jha, J.)

Rakhi

U			
---	--	--	--

