

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.75515 of 2018

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-67 Year-2018 Thana- SURYAPUR District- Rohtas

=====

Upendra Chaudhary, S/o Late Krishna Chaudhary, R/o Village- Larui, P.S.-
Suryapura, District- Rohtas.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Radha Mohan Pathak

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Smt Renu Kumari

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR

ORAL ORDER

2 17-12-2018 learned counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel
for the State.

Petitioner, who is in custody, seeks bail in
connection with Surajpura P.S. Case No. 67 of 2018 registered
for the offence punishable under Sections 304(B), 34 of the
Indian Penal Code.

Informant has alleged that marriage of his daughter
was solemnized with petitioner on 06.05.2018 and thereafter she
was being subjected to torture for non-fulfillment of demand of
dowry and subsequently she was killed by strangulation.

It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that he
is innocent and demand of dowry and torture is false and
concocted. Victim girl committed suicide Post mortem report



has been enclosed as Annexure-2 in which ligature mark on the neck was found and the cause of death has been attributed to Asphyxia caused by hanging. Petitioner has no criminal antecedent and he is in custody since 22.06.2018.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, let the petitioner named above be released on bail upon furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned C.J.M., Rohtas, in connection with Surajpura P.S. Case No. 67 of 2018 subject to the conditions that:-

(1) Bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned.

(2) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and his absence on two consecutive dates without proper and sufficient reason the trial court will be at liberty to cancel his bail bond.

(3) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioner.

(S. Kumar, J)

veena/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

