

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.2166 of 2017

=====

Ramesh Kanchan Kumar, Son of Late Prayag Bhatta, resident of Village-
Balbandh, P.S.- Charpokhari, District- Bhojpur at Ara (Bihar).

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Land Reforms and Revenue
Department Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Sub-Registrar, Danapur, District- Patna.
3. The Circle Officer, Danapur, District- Patna.
4. The D.C.L.R. Danapur, District- Patna.
5. Raghunath Prasad, Son of late Babu Hari Saran Ray, resident of Village-
Shahpur, Khalilpura, Tola- Uffarpura, Pargana- Phulwari, P.S. Danapur
(Rupaspur), District- Patna.
6. Lal Bahadur Singh, Son of Unknown, resident of Sanjay Gandhi Nagar, Road
No. 4, P.O. Hanumannagar, P.S. Patrakarnagar, Kankarbagh, District- Patna.

.... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Raj Kishore Srivastava

For the Respondent/s : Mr. RAJ KISHORE ROY-GP18

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR JHA

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 13-03-2018

Heard both sides.

The petitioner seeks quashing of the order dated 13.10.2009
passed in Misc. Case No.02/2008-09 by the D.C.L.R., Danapur,
Patna.

It appears that the petitioner purchased the land from
Raghunath Prasad and the D.C.L.R., without hearing the petitioner,
ordered for mutation of the name of the respondents. It appears that
against the order passed by the Circle Officer on 06.01.2009.
Raghunath Prasad, the vendor of the petitioner filed appeal before the



D.C.L.R. The vendor of the petitioner filed C.W.J.C. No.1479/2010 against the order dated 13.10.2009 passed by the D.C.L.R. in Misc. Case No.02/2008-09 and this court vide order dated 30.06.2015 transmitted the records to Bihar Land Tribunal for adjudication of the dispute between Raghunath Prasad and others. The Bihar Land Tribunal vide its order passed in B.L.T. Case No.942/2015 dismissed the case of Raghunath Prasad on 06.12.2016. Thereafter the petitioner, who is purchaser from Raghunath Prasad filed this writ petition challenging the illegality of the order of the D.C.L.R. which has already been found to be correct in the case filed by the vendor of the petitioner.

Considering the aforesaid facts, I do not find any merit in the writ petition. The same is dismissed.

(Prabhat Kumar Jha, J)

Amit/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	24.03.2018
Transmission Date	NA

