

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.64859 of 2018

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-111 Year-2018 Thana- KANHAULI District- Sitamarhi

Nitesh Kumar, Son of Siyaram Ray, Resident of Village- Pandaul, Police Station- Bathnaha, District- Sitamarhi.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Pushpendra Kumar Singh

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Smt. Meena Singh

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

4 06-12-2018 In this case, vide order no.3 passed in Cr. Misc. No.64859 of 2018, some modifications have been made, however, in the order-sheet of order no.3, the date of the order i.e. '22.11.2018' has not been mentioned.

In such view of the matter, let the order no.3 be read with order dated 22.11.2018 passed in Cr. Misc. No.64859 of 2018.

As such order no.3 dated 22.11.2018 passed in Cr. Misc. No.64859 of 2018 be read as follows : -

“This case has been listed today under the heading ‘to be mentioned’ at the instance of the learned counsel for the petitioner that in paragraph no.2 of order dated 2.11.2018 passed in Cr. Misc. No.64859 of 2018 “*Sections 448, 307, 120(B)/34 of the I.P.C. and Section 27 of the Arms Act*” has wrongly been



typed in place of “**Sections 272, 273 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 30(a) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act, 2016**” as mentioned in the petition.

In such view of the matter , let the words “**Sections 272, 273 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 30(a) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act, 2016**” be read at para no.2 of order dated 2.11.2018 passed in the instant case in place of “**Sections 448, 307, 120(B)/34 of the I.P.C. and Section 27 of the Arms Act**” and remaining parts of the order shall remain intact.

Let the aforesaid order be communicated to the court below concerned at once.

(Vinod Kumar Sinha, J)

chn/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

