

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.64824 of 2018

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -79 Year- 2018 Thana -HASPURA District- AURANGABAD

=====

1. Raushan Kumar @ Laddu Son of Akhilesh Singh Resident of Village-
Shemshua,P.S. Mahendia,Distt.-Arwal at present, C/o Dabalu Singh of
Village-Akorha,P.S. Daudnagar,Distt.-Aurangabad

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ajay Kumar Sinha, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Choubey Jawahar, A.P.P.- 158

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

2 29-10-2018 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the
learned A.P.P. for the State.

The Petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with
Haspura, P.S. Case No. 79 of 2018, registered for offences
punishable under Sections 395, 397 of Indian Penal Code and 4/5
of Explosive Substances Act.

Allegation against the petitioner as per F.I.R. is that
some miscreants have committed theft in the Jewellery shop of the
petitioner and also exploded bomb, causing injury.

Submission of the learned counsel for the petitioner is
that the petitioner is not named in the F.I.R. and later on, the
name of the petitioner transpires on the confessional statement of
co-accused, Jailal Mahto and other accused persons have been



granted bail by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 20.09.2018 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 56931 of 2018 and the petitioner is in custody since 12.05.2018.

Heard learned A.P.P also.

Having heard both sides and in view of the facts and circumstances, as stated above, let the petitioner, above named, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 25,000/- (Rupees Twenty five thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Sri Sidharth Pandey, Pandey, Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Haspura, in connection with Haspura, P.S. Case No. 79 of 2018, subject to the following conditions:-

- i) One of the bailors of the petitioner shall be a local person having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the concerned Court.
- ii) The petitioner will not induce any witness or tamper with the evidence.

(Vinod Kumar Sinha, J)

Sudha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

