

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.15477 of 2014

=====

Jag Lal Yadav son of Shri Moti Yadav, resident of Mohalla- Ratanpura, P.S.-
Bhagwan Bazar, District- Saran at Chapra, at present assistant teacher in
Govt. Basic School Bhagwanpur, Anchal Dayalpur, District- Saran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Education Department,
Government of Bihar, Patna
2. The Director, Primary Education, Education Department, Bihar, Patna
3. The District Magistrate, Chapra, District- Saran
4. The Regional Deputy Director of Education, Saran Division at Chapra
5. The District Education Officer, Chapra, District- Saran
6. The District Programme Officer (Establishment), Saran at Chapra
7. The District Treasury Officer, Chapra, District- Saran
8. The Headmaster-cum-Drawing & Disbursing Officer, Govt. Basic School
Bhagwanpur, Anchal- Dayalpur, District- Saran
9. The Bihar School Examination Board through the Secretary, Sinha Library
Road, Patna
10. The Secretary, Bihar School Examination Board, Sinha Library Road, Patna
11. The Chairman, Bihar School Examination Board, Sinha Library Road, Patna

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Umesh Kumar Mishra
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Roy Shivaji Nath

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPADHYAY
ORAL ORDER

10 12-09-2018 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the
counsel appearing on behalf of the State.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner
submits that the case of the petitioner is covered by the decision
of this Court in C.W.J.C. No. 18795 of 2015.

Without going into the nitty-gritty of the claim of the
petitioner, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to
respondent no.4 to examine the case of the petitioner in the light



of the judgment of this court dated 31.01.2017 passed in C.W.J.C. No. 18795 of 2015 and if the case of the petitioner on verification is found similar to the case of petitioner of C.W.J.C. No. 18795 of 2015, similar benefit should be extended to the petitioner also. Final decision in this regard must be taken by the respondent no.4 within a maximum period of three months from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order.

With the aforesaid, the writ petition stands disposed of.

(Anil Kumar Upadhyay, J)

Ravi/-

U			
---	--	--	--

