

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.78 of 2017

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.5795 of 2013

-
1. Dr. (smt.) Chhabi Sahay, wife of Dr. Radha Mohan Prasad, resident of At-K-18, Hanuman Nagar, P.S.-Patrakar Nagar, Kankarbagh, Patna.
 2. Dr. Anis Chandra Mishra, son of late Kharg Nath Mishra, resident of At-B-402, Arbind Lok Apartment, Hanuman Nagar, P.S.- Patrakar Nagar, Kankarbagh, Patna.
 3. Dr. (Smt.) Tripti Choudhary, wife of Sri Swapan Choudhary, resident of At-F/16, Sapna Apartment, Naya Tola, P.S.-Pirbahore, Town and District- Patna.
 4. Dr. Prabhat Shankar, son of Late Dr. Tej Bahadur Gupta, resident of Road no.7, Rajendra Nagar, P.S.-Kadamkuan, District- Patna.

... .. Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Sri Deepak Kumar Singh, the Principal Secretary, Labour Resources Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. Sri Ravi Mittal, the Principal Secretary, Finance Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. Sri Dharmendra Singh Gangwar, the Principal Secretary, General Administration Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
5. Sri Kameshwar Singh, the Deputy Secretary, Labour Resources Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
6. Sri Gopal Mina, the Director, Medical Service, Employees State Insurance Scheme, Labour Resources Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.

... .. Opposite Parties.

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Lakshmi Kant Sharma, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Ajay Kumar Rastogi, AAG-10

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SHIVAJI PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

3 26-09-2018 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and
learned counsel for the State.

In this case, the petitioners are making prayer for
initiation of contempt proceeding against the opposite parties on
account of part compliance of the judgment and order dated



02.05.2016 passed by this Court in C.W.J.C. No. 5795 of 2013.

Learned counsel for the State has pointed out that the order of this Court has been complied in its true letter and spirit, which has vehemently been opposed by learned counsel for the petitioners and submitted that though they have granted the benefit of post but not granted monetary benefits.

This aspect cannot be looked into in the present contempt proceeding. If the petitioners are really aggrieved, they may pursue the legal remedy as available under the law.

Accordingly, this contempt petitioner is disposed of.

(Shivaji Pandey, J)

pawan/-

U			
---	--	--	--

