

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.7474 of 2017

=====

Satyendra Kumar, Son of Sri Nageshwar prasad, R/o Village- Manikpur, P.S.-
Harmaur, District- Nalanda.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Chief Secretary Government of Bihar.
2. The District magistrate, Kishanganj.
3. The District Programme officer, Establishment, Kishanganj.
4. The Block Development Officer, Terahgachh, District- Kishanganj.
5. The Block Education Officer, Kishanganj.
6. The Panchayat Secretary, Panchayat Raj Chilhania Panchayat, P.S.-
Terahgachh, District- Kishanganj.
7. The Mukhiya, Panchayat Raj, Chilhania Panchayat, P.S.- Terahgachh, District-
Kishanganj.

.... Respondent/s

With

=====

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 13103 of 2017

=====

Anil Kumar Singh, son of Rambaran Singh, Resident of Village - Aambari, P.S. -
Terhagachhi, District - Kishanganj.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Education Department,
Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Education Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The District Magistrate, Kishanganj.
4. The District Programme Officer (Establishment), Kishanganj.
5. The Block Development Officer, Terhagachhi, Kishanganj.
6. The Block Education Extension Officer, Terhagachhi, Kishanganj.
7. The Panchayat Secretary, Gram Panchayat - Chilhaniya, P.S. Terhagachhi,
Kishanganj.
8. The Mukhiya, Gram Panchayat Raj Chilhaniya, P.S. Terhagachhi, Kishanganj.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

(In CWJC No.7474 of 2017)

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ajit Kumar, Advocate

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Subhash Chandra Mishra- SC16

(In CWJC No.13103 of 2017)

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ajit Kumar, Advocate

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Madhaw Prasad Yadav -GP23

Mr. Arvind Kumar, AC to GP-23

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPADHYAY

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 17-05-2018



Considering the compliance shown by the respondents and as the fact that the petitioners have been paid arrears of salary, both the writ applications stand disposed of.

It goes without saying that the respondents may make payment of regular salary like any other teachers without being prejudiced by the fact that the respondents have been summoned in these cases to appear in person.

With the aforesaid, both the writ applications stands disposed of.

Personal appearance of District Programme Officer (Establishment), Kishanganj is dispensed with.

(Anil Kumar Upadhyay, J)

Uday/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	19.05.2018
Transmission Date	

