

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.14435 of 2017

=====

Sureshwar Baitha, son of Jawahar, resident of Village- Laukaha, Post-
Kaurihar, Police Station- Raxaul, District- East Champaran.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through its Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, Old Secretariat, Patna.
2. The Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, Old Secretariat, Patna.
3. The Principal Secretary, Water Resources Department, Government of Bihar, Sinchai Bhawan, Patna.
4. The Engineer-in-Chief-cum-Additional Commissioner-cum-Special Secretary, Flood Control and Water Drainage, Water Resource Department, Government of Bihar, Sinchai Bhawan, Patna.
5. Engineer-in- Chief, Headquarter, Water Resource Department, Bihar, Sinchai Bhawan, Patna.
6. The Joint Secretary, Water Resources Department, Government of Bihar, Sinchai Bhawan, Patna.
7. The Joint Secretary (Management), Water Resources Department, Government of Bihar, Sinchai Bhawan, Patna.
8. The Deputy Secretary (Management), Water Resources Department, Government of Bihar, Sinchai Bhawan, Patna.
9. The Under Secretary (Management), Water Resources Department, Government of Bihar, Sinchai Bhawan, Patna.
10. The Principal Secretary, Finance Department, Government of Bihar, Old Secretariat, Patna.
11. The Secretary (Expenditure), Finance Department, Government of Bihar, Old Secretariat, Patna.
12. Accountant General (A & E), Bihar, Patna.

... .. Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ratnesh Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Harish Kumar -GP-8

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SHIVAJI PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

3 05-09-2018 Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the present case is squarely covered by the judgment dated 03.07.2018 passed in C.W.J.C. No.7245 of 2017 (Shankar



Bhagwan Prasad vs. State of Bihar and Ors.).

In such view of the matter, this writ petition is also disposed of in terms of the judgment dated 03.07.2018 passed in C.W.J.C. No. 7245 of 2017. The respondent authorities will examine the case of the present petitioner as well as the case of the petitioner of C.W.J.C. No.7245 of 2017 and if it is found that the facts of both the cases are identical, in such circumstance, the present petitioner will be given the same benefit as has been given to the petitioner of C.W.J.C. No. 7245 of 2017.

(Shivaji Pandey, J)

pawan/-

U			
---	--	--	--

