

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.953 of 2017

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 5640 of 2011

=====

1. Dhirendra Kumar Yadav, son of Bhuneshwar Yadav, resident of Village-
Jamhara, P.O.- Biratpur Gram Panchayat Nanauti, Police Station and Block-
Sonbarsa, District- Saharsa.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. Binod Singh Gungil, the District Magistrate, Saharsa.
3. Sri Swadhendra Choudhary, the Deputy Development Commissioner,
Saharsa.
4. Sri Apurva Kumar Madhukar, the Panchayat Officer, Saharsa.
5. Sri Chanda Kumari, the Block Development Officer, Simri Bakhtiyarpur,
District- Saharsa.
6. Sri Sikandar Yadav, son of Bandey Lal Yadav, resident of Gram
Panchayat Kathdumar, Block- Simri Bakhtiyarpur, District- Saharsa.
7. Sri Kameshwar Yadav, son of Parmeshwar Yadav, resident of Panchayat
Mohanpur, Police Station and District- Saharsa, at present posted at Sadar
Kendriya Block on the post of Panchayat Sewak, District- Saharsa.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Jitendra Kumar Roy

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Pushkar Narain Shahi - Aag 6

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. ANJANA MISHRA
ORAL ORDER

11 25-04-2018 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel appearing on behalf of the State.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
report of the Three-Man Committee constituted by the Collector
has not been taken into consideration while passing the reasoned
order which is marked as Annexure - 'A'.

However, learned counsel for the State points out that
due consideration on the said report has also been made and,



thereafter, considering all facts and circumstances of the case, the petitioner's case has been rejected.

After perusal of the show-cause filed by the State, it appears that vide Annexure-‘A’, a reasoned order has been passed to the detriment of the petitioner. Thus, no case of contempt is made out.

The contempt application stands dismissed.

The petitioner is, however, at liberty to assail the order passed by the opposite parties, if so tenable under law.

(Anjana Mishra, J)

Saif/-

U			
---	--	--	--

