

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.54704 of 2018

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-495 Year-2010 Thana- FORBESGANJ District- Araria

=====

Pramod Kumar, S/o Bidya Sagar Prasad @ B. Prasad, Resident of Sadar Bazar, Danapur, P.S.- Danapur, Dist- Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Raj Bansh Dubey

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sri Ram Sumiran Roy

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 26-09-2018 Heard learned counsel for petitioner and learned counsel for the State.

Petitioner seeks bail in **Farbisganj P.S. Case No. 495 of 2010** registered for the offence punishable under Sections 420, 406 and 120B of the Indian Penal Code.

Allegation against the petitioner is that he was one of the employee of the forged company which had collected money in the name of Harit Krishi Pariyojna and appointed Informant as Zonal Director of said company, and when he demanded salary three cheques were issued which on presented in the Bank get dishonoured. The cheques were issued by accused no. 1 Subodh Kumar and it has been submitted that the petitioner was also an employee and he himself is the victim and sufferer. Petitioner has got no criminal antecedent and is in custody since



27.06.2018.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, let the petitioner named above be released on bail upon furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned **Chief Judicial Magistrate-Araria**, in connection with **Farbisganj P.S. Case No. 495 of 2010**, with following conditions:-

(1) Bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned.

(2) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be present on each and every date fixed by the court and his absence on two consecutive dates without proper and reasonable reason will be sufficient to cancel his bail bond.

(3) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioner.

(S. Kumar, J)

ranjan/-

U			
---	--	--	--

