

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.2082 of 2017

In

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 5149 of 2012

=====

Ravindra Kumar Singh, Son of late Shri Triveni Singh, Resident of
Ashinana Nagar, Police Station-Rajeev nagar, District Patna.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Union of India Through The Secretary Mr. Rajiv Gaurava, Ministry of Home Affairs, Government of India New Delhi.
2. Sri Harish Chandra, The Deputy Secretary, Personnel, Ministry of Home Affairs, Government of India, Police Division II North Block, New Delhi.
3. Sri O.P. Singh, the Director General, Central Industrial Security Force, 13, CGO Complex, Lodhi Road, New Delhi.
4. Sri A.K. Singh, the Inspector General, Central Industrial Security Force, Patna.
5. Sri Ajay Mittal, the Secretary, Department of Personnel and Training, Government of India, New Delhi.
6. Sri Devdutt Singh, the Deputy Inspector General, Personnel Central Industrial Security Force, Ministry of Home Affairs, Government of India, New Delhi.
7. The Union Public Service Commission, through its Chairman, Prof, David R. Syiemlieh, Dholpur House, Sah Jahan Road, New Delhi, through its Chairman
8. Shri S.A. Naeem, then Commandant, CISF, at BCCI Dhanbad in 2003-04 as Reporting Authority in the ACR, now Dig, CISF, RTC, Bhilai.
9. Shri H.V. Caturvedi, then DIG, CISF, BCCL, Dhanbad in 2003-04 as reviewing Authority in the ACR now IG HQR. CISF, NEW Delhi.
10. Shri A.R. KINI, IPS, Then IG, CISF, ES, Patna as Accepting Authority in ACR 2003-2004.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sanjeev Kumar, Adv.

For the Respondent/s : Mr.

For the U.O.I : Mr. S.D.Sanjay, ASG.

Mr. Kumar Priay Rajan, (CGI)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN

PRASAD

ORAL ORDER

3 13-09-2018 Mr. S.D. Sanjay, Assistant Solicitor General, Government

of India, submits that in fact, the present contempt application has

been preferred against an interim order. During its pendency the



final order was passed in the writ application and a contempt application being Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No. 98 of 2018 arising out of the final order has been earlier dismissed by a learned Coordinate Bench of this Court.

Learned counsel for the petitioner does not dispute this position.

In the aforesaid view of the matter, this contempt application stands disposed off.

It goes without saying that the petitioner may seek his remedy, if so aggrieved by the order passed by the Opposite Parties in accordance with law.

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)

R.R.Ojha.

U			
---	--	--	--

