

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Criminal Miscellaneous No.52246 of 2018

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -333 Year- 2016 Thana -MADHAURAH District- SARAN

1. Ram Narayan Prasad, Son of Late Ganesh Mahto,
2. Sudama Devi, Wife of Ram Narayan Prasad, Both resident of Village-
Agahara, P.S. Marhaura, District- Saran at Chapra.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.

.... Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Anita Kumari, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Navin Kumar Pandey, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

2 04-10-2018 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and the
learned A.P.P. for the State.

The Petitioners seek regular bail in connection with
Marhaura P.S.Case No. 333 of 2016, registered for offences
punishable under Sections 304 (B)/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

Allegation as per F.I.R against the petitioners, who
happens to be the father-in-law and mother-in-law of the deceased,
is of killing the deceased due to non fulfillment of demand of
Bolero vehicle.

Submission of the learned counsel for the petitioners is
that the petitioners have falsely been implicated in this case and
during the course of investigation all the petitioners were found to
be innocent and husband of the deceased has already been granted
anticipatory bail by this Court, which appears from impugned



order and petitioners are other than husband, and are in custody since 25.04.2018.

Heard learned A.P.P. as well as learned counsel for the informant submits that husband of the deceased was not residing there and death was due to strangulation.

Having heard both sides and in view of the facts and circumstances, as stated above, let the petitioners, above named, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 25,000/- (Rupees Twenty five thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate- XIII, Saran at Chapra in connection with Marhaura P. S. Case No. 333 of 2016, subject to the following conditions:-

- i) One of the bailors of the petitioners shall be a local person having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the concerned Court.
- ii) The petitioners will not induce any witness or tamper with the evidence.

(Vinod Kumar Sinha, J)

Sudha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

