

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**

**Criminal Miscellaneous No.50637 of 2018**

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -85 Year- 2018 Thana -AURANGABAD TOWN District-  
AURANGABAD

=====

1. Mithun Kumar S/o Satendra Yadav Resident of Village - Nagabigha,  
P.O. & P.S. - Aurangabad (Town), Distt. Aurangabad.

.... .... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.

.... .... Opposite Party/s

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Shashi Bhushan Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Umeshnand Pandit, A.P.P.

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD KUMAR SINHA**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2 31-08-2018

Heard the parties.

The petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in connection with  
Town P.S.Case No. 85 of 2018, registered for offences punishable  
under Sections 147, 149, 186, 504, 337, 427 and 353 of the Indian  
Penal Code.

As per F.I.R., allegation against the petitioner is that  
the petitioner alongwith hundred other students involved in  
“ dharna” during election of students union and brick batting due  
to which vehicle of the police has been damaged.

Submission of the learned counsel for the petitioner is that  
there is no specific allegation has been attributed against him,  
though, he has named in the FIR along with three others and one



of the accused, Ranjan Kumar has already been granted anticipatory bail vide order dated 29.06.2018 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 38402 of 2018. It is also submitted that the petitioner has no criminal antecedent.

Learned A.P.P. opposes the prayer for bail.

Having heard both sides and in view of the facts and circumstances, as discussed above, let the petitioner, above named on surrender or arrest within six weeks be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 25,000/- (Twenty five thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Aurangabad in connection with Aurangabad Town P. S. Case No. 85 of 2018, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438 (2) of Cr.P.C. with condition that one of the bailors of the petitioner shall be a local person having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the concerned court.

**(Vinod Kumar Sinha, J)**

Sudha/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

